Source Evaluation Form

Junior Research Infographic

Source Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Source Author: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Circle the type of this source:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Reference Text (encyclopedia, dictionary, etc.) | Web Site | Non-Fiction Book |
| Periodical (magazine, professional journal, etc.) | Primary Source Interview (someone who was there) | Secondary Source Interview (someone who wasn’t there, but knows about it) |

Evaluation of Electronic Sources:

*Directions: Cite evidence in support of the credibility of this source and its information in each of the following areas:*

Description or summary of source, identifying some information about the site or link:

Type of site:

Information on home page (*how it fits the topic, how objective the source is*):

Dates (*website’s creation date and most recent update*):

Reliability (*can the sources information be checked for accuracy with a reliable source – cite evidence*):

Bias/Accuracy:

Evaluate the Usefulness of this Source:

*Directions: Rate the usefulness of this source by circling the number of the best description.*

1. This source is credible and central to research.
2. This source is credible but not central to research.
3. This source is unreliable and/or irrelevant.
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